

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. <del>09/181,02</del>% 10/27/ A-65680-47RF HM22/0921 **EXAMINER** FLEHR HOHBACH TEST BRUSCA, J ALBRITTON & HERBERT FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 3400** 1636 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/181,027

Applicant(s)

Haaf et al.

Examiner

John S. Brusca

Group Art Unit 1636



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/17/99	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to estimate sometimes in the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 40-45	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 45	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 40-44	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	·
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t	he priority documents have been
received.	
$\hfill \square$ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb	er)
$\square$ received in this national stage application from the In	ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	<del></del>
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). '
Attachment(s)	
X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	s)4
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	E FOLLOWING PAGES
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	, i OLLO IIING I AGLO

Art Unit: 1636

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This rejection is a non-final rejection due to the new grounds of rejection not necessitated by Applicant's amendment.

#### Election/Restriction

- 2. Applicant's election of Group 1 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 3. Claim 45 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

## Specification

4. The objection to the specification in the Office Action mailed 1/19/99 is withdrawn in view of the Amendment received 8/17/99 and the communication received 7/29/99 regarding the Haaf reference.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The rejection of claims 40-44 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one

Art Unit: 1636

skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention is withdrawn in view of the arguments presented in the Amendment received 8/17/99 that utilities other than for therapeutic or diagnostic methods are disclosed. The Applicants arguments that the rejection is based on a lack of utility is not persuasive because the rejection was based on a lack of enablement for therapeutic or diagnostic methods, and not on a lack of utility for therapeutic or diagnostic methods.

6. The rejection of claims 41-44 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph in the Office Action mailed 1/19/99 is withdrawn in view of the Amendment received 8/17/99.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 7. For the purposes of prior art, the instant application is granted an effective filing date of 10/27/98 because the instant specification discloses methods of using the claimed combination of nucleic acids for producing encoded proteins for use in binding assays on page 23 and for generating specific antisera for in situ staining on page 31. Claimed Provisional Applications 60/035834 and 60/045668 do not disclose methods of using the claimed combinations of nucleic acids for binding assays or for generating combinations of antibodies specific for the encoded proteins.
- 8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 40 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sharan et al. (Reference 3 in the Form PTO 1449 received 4/15/99).

The claims are drawn to compositions comprising nucleic acids encoding Rad 5, and BRCA2.

Sharan et al. show in the methods section on pages 809-810 the use of genes encoding Rad51 and BRCA2 to construct two-hybrid assay vectors to measure binding between Rad51 and BRCA2 proteins. Sharan et al. show such binding assays in figure 5.

Therefore, Sharan et al. anticipates the claimed invention.

10. Claims 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sturzbecher et al. (Reference 2 in the Form PTO 1449 received 4/15/99).

The claims are drawn to compositions comprising nucleic acids encoding Rad 51 and p53.

Sturzbecher et al. show in the materials and methods section on page 2000 a gene encoding Rad51, and its use for in vitro transcription/translation. Sturzbecher et al. show in the materials and methods section on page 2000 a gene encoding p53 and its use to express in E. coli cells p53 protein. Sturzbecher et al. shows in figure 1 a binding assay between p53 and Rad51 proteins.

Therefore, Sturzbecher et al. anticipates the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1636

11. Claims 40 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scully et

al.

The claims are drawn to compositions comprising nucleic acids encoding Rad 51 and

BRCA2.

Scully et al. shows in the Experimental Procedures section on page 273 genes encoding

p53 and BRCA2 proteins. Scully et al. shows on page 267 and figure 3 the use of genes

encoding p53 and BRCA2 to transiently transfect cells followed by immunoprecipitation to

demonstrate binding between p53 and BRCA2.

Therefore, Scully et al. anticipates the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art

are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be

negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point

Art Unit: 1636

out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

13. Claims 40 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sturzbecher et al. in view of Scully et al. in view of Sharan et al.

The claims are drawn to compositions comprising nucleic acids encoding Rad 51, BRCA1, BRCA2, and p53.

Sharan et al. show in the methods section on pages 809-810 the use of genes encoding Rad51 and BRCA2 to construct two-hybrid assay vectors to measure binding between Rad51 and BRCA2 proteins. Sharan et al. show such binding assays in figure 5.

Sturzbecher et al. show in the materials and methods section on page 2000 a gene encoding Rad51, and its use for in vitro transcription/translation. Sturzbecher et al. show in the materials and methods section on page 2000 a gene encoding p53 and its use to express in E. coli cells p53 protein. Sturzbecher et al. shows in figure 1 a binding assay between p53 and Rad51 proteins.

Scully et al. shows in the Experimental Procedures section on page 273 genes encoding p53 and BRCA2 proteins. Scully et al. shows on page 267 and figure 3 the use of genes encoding p53 and BRCA2 to transiently transfect cells followed by immunoprecipitation to demonstrate binding between p53 and BRCA2.

Art Unit: 1636

Sharan et al., Sturzbecher et al., and Scully et al. do not show use of genes encoding Rad51, p53, BRCA1, and BRCA2 in combination.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine genes encoding Rad51, p53, BRCA1, and BRCA2 because the combination of references show that p53, BRCA1, and BRCA2 bind Rad51, and the combination would be useful to assay binding of Rad51 to p53, BRCA1, and BRCA2 by the methods of Sharan et al., Sturzbecher et al, and/or Scully et al.

## Conclusion

14. Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1636 by facsimile transmission. For routine submissions the FAX number is (703) 308-4242. For FAX transmissions in cases in which the Examiner has been notified by phone to expect the transmission, the FAX number is (703) 305-7939. In such cases please call the Examiner at (703) 308-4231 at the time of transmission to expedite delivery of the fax. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 CFR 1.6 (d)). NOTE: If applicant *does* submit a paper by FAX, the original copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED, so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Art Unit: 1636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Brusca, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4231. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 AM to 5 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Elliott, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-4003.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

John S. Brusca, Ph.D.

Mr. Bunh

Primary Examiner